

VARIABLE-TEMPERATURE INFRA RED AND PROTON, FLUORINE-19, AND
CARBON-13 NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROMETRIC EVIDENCE
FOR ROTATIONAL ISOMERISM IN FURAN-2-CARBONYL FLUORIDE

Derek J. Chadwick

Dyson Perrins Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford

(Received in UK 10 January 1975; accepted for publication 22 January 1975)

IT has been convincingly demonstrated by low temperature proton¹ and carbon-13² n.m.r. spectrometry that furan-2-carbaldehyde exists in solution as a mixture of rotational isomers, but the i.r. carbonyl band doublet observed for this compound arises from Fermi resonance and not rotational isomerism³: the 5-deuterio analogue has a single carbonyl band. The data presented here for furan-2-carbonyl fluoride and its 5-deuterio analogue reveal a very different situation.

Whereas in CCl_4 the protio compound has three i.r. C=O bands, the 5-deuterio compound has two bands: the relative intensities of these closely parallel those of the overtone C=O bands, and vary with change of temperature in a manner consistent with the occurrence of an equilibrium between rotamers having only a small enthalpy difference.



No coalescence phenomenon is observed in the proton (in CH_3CHCl_2) or carbon-13 (in CD_2Cl_2) n.m.r. spectra for furan-2-carbonyl fluoride at temperatures down to ca. -80°C ; the critical changes as temperature is decreased are the gradual decrease of the 4,H-F coupling and the gradual increase of the 5,H-F coupling, and the gradual appearance of a long-range 2,C-F coupling which is absent in the room temperature spectra. The carbon-13 result is best explained by assuming that syn and anti forms have $\underline{J}_{2,\text{C-F}}$'s of opposite sign which are fortuitously balanced to about zero at room temperature in CD_2Cl_2 . Further details concerning this unusual result will be given in a full paper.

Fluorine-19 n.m.r. provides the clearest evidence for the occurrence of rotational isomerism. With decrease in temperature, the fluorine resonances coalesce, and when temperature is decreased further they sharpen to pairs of signals. Solutions of the fluoride in three solvents (Table) contain appreciable amounts of both rotamers both at low and room temperatures, but the ratios are very different from the figure of

unity assumed for a 10% solution in diethyl ether at 32°C⁴. That the isomer predominant at low temperatures is already predominant at room temperature follows directly from the fluorine resonances' chemical shifts: this predominant isomer has the higher field fluorine signals (at temperatures below coalescence), is proton-coupled mainly to 5,H, and (on 5-deuteration) produces the higher wavenumber (larger) component of the 1.r. C=O doublet. If the W-rule established for H-H coupling in heterocyclic systems⁵ applies

TABLE

Rotamer percentages at 183°K. H and L refer to higher and lower wavenumber (1.r.) or field (n.m.r.) absorptions. The 1.r. results refer to data from the 5-deuterio analogue and are calculated assuming that pairs of rotamers have equal integrated absorption coefficients⁶. Of the three solvents, only CCl₃F allowed accurate curve resolution⁷ of the fluoride's C=O doublet.

	$\nu_{\underline{H}}$	$\nu_{\underline{L}}$	$\phi_{\underline{H}}$	$\phi_{\underline{L}}$
in CCl ₃ F	78	22	72	28
in CD ₂ Cl ₂	-	-	84	16
in CH ₃ CHCl ₂	-	-	80	20

also to H-F couplings, then this predominant isomer must be syn. The F-H coupling at room temperature (observed as a weighted average in the fluorine n.m.r. spectra) is significantly lower than either of the individual rotamers' F-H couplings determined at low temperature in CD₂Cl₂. This may arise from the intrinsic temperature dependence of coupling constants; alternatively, the individual $J_{\underline{F},\underline{H}}$ values may be opposite in sign. The small effect of change of solvent on the position of equilibrium contrasts markedly with the behaviour of furan-2-carbaldehyde⁸ and indicates that the difference in dipole moment between syn and anti forms of the fluoride is less than with the aldehyde.

Acknowledgment: I thank Magdalen College, Oxford for a fellowship.

References

1. K.-I. Dahlqvist and S. Forsén, J.Phys.Chem., 1965, 69, 4062; M.L. Martin, J.-C. Roze, G.J. Martin, and P. Fournari, Tetrahedron Letters, 1970, 3407; B.P. Roques and M.C. Fournie-Zaluski, Organic Magnetic Resonance, 1971, 3, 305, and S. Nagata, T. Yanabe, K. Yoshikawa, and H. Kato, Tetrahedron, 1973, 29, 2545.
2. D.J. Chadwick, G.D. Meakins, and E.E. Richards, Tetrahedron Letters, 1974, 3183.
3. D.J. Chadwick, J. Chambers, G.D. Meakins, and R.L. Snowden, J.C.S.Chem.Comm., 1972, 742.
4. K. Schaumburg, J.Magnetic Resonance, 1972, 7, 177.
5. See, for example, B.P. Roques and S. Combrisson, Canad.J.Chem., 1973, 51, 573.
6. D.J. Chadwick, J. Chambers, G.D. Meakins, and R.L. Snowden, J.C.S.Perkin II, 1972, 1959.
7. D.J. Chadwick, J. Chambers, and R.L. Snowden, J.C.S.Perkin II, 1974, 1181.
8. R.J. Abraham and T.M. Siverns, Tetrahedron, 1972, 28, 3015.